LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.
FILED
JAN 16 1968
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

In the
Supreme Court of the United States
WASHINGTON, D. C.

No. 1026

MRS. EMILY PEARSON 1829 10TH AVENUE SOUTH BOX 10
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA
Petitioner (Attorney Pro se)

VS.

STATE WELFARE, MORRIS HURSH, COMMISSIONER, SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA
Respondent

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT, WASHINGTON, D. C.

MRS. EMILY PEARSON
1829 10th Avenue South Box 10
Minneapolis, Minnesota
Petitioner (Attorney Pro se)

Dated January 15, 1968.

In the
Supreme Court of the United States
WASHINGTON, D. C.

No. ———

MRS. EMILY PEARSON 1829 10TH AVENUE SOUTH BOX 10
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA

Petitioner (Attorney Pro se)

VS.

STATE WELFARE, MORRIS HURSH, COMMISSIONER, SAINT PAUL, MINNESOTA

Respondent

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT, WASHINGTON, D. C.

This is a petition for a writ of certiorari for a hearing, new order, judgment removed, settlement of this case in United States Supreme Court, Washington D.C. the adoption of two small children to Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson, 8115 Plymouth Avenue North, Minneapolis, Minnesota from a dismissal order by United States Court of Appeals, St. Louis, Missouri, my record and brief filed in United States Court of Appeals from the United States District Court, Minneapolis, Minnesota. No more notice of motion and motion by respondent to dismiss petition for writ of certiorari. The respondent has 30 days to answer.

No date was set for a hearing in United States Court of Appeals, St. Louis, Missouri on No. 18968 Civil; my record

and brief filed. An order was entered by the direction of the Court dismissing my record and brief, stating that appellant had asked for a dismissal. That Mrs. Emily Pearson never asked for a dismissal on my record and brief filed. That appellee asked for a dismissal of case. No office order should have been made until appellant had a hearing on 18968 Civil in United States Court of Appeals. Statements on points on writ. I am a veteran's mother. I have a right to complain. I have the right to be attorney pro se. We do not want illegitimate children. Floyd Pearson is now without a job. He cannot and does not want to support illegitimate children. He believes one of his own or none at all. He is the supporter, not the wife. They have taken advantage of Floyd Pearson's wife. I do not believe she wanted these children. Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson do not qualify to adopt children. Floyd Pearson is 43 years old; too old to adopt children. Floyd Pearson is supposed to help his mother if she needs it. That's law. Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson do not have the proper housing to adopt children. I do not believe city inspection would okay it. Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson do not have the money to make the improvements. Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson do not have their home paid for. They need the money themselves. Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson do not agree on religion.

ARGUMENT

Why should Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson support illegitimate children when they need the money themselves? Why should Floyd Pearson waste his money on other people's children when he is supposed to help his mother if she needs it. That's law. Unwed mothers should get married. They are young, able to work, support their own children

if no father or illegitimate fathers go to work, go in service; support your own children.

Why should the government support children when parents are able to work, support their own children; wages good.

I believe we need a bill in Congress to stop all adoptions; only relatives. No more runing around with babies. The mother should keep the baby. I believe we only need half as many high priced social workers. I believe the United States Government should build two homes in every state in the union for neglected and unfit mother's children to be supported and run by the Government. Food, clothing and education until 18 years of age. When 18 years of age they are on their own and should be able to support themselves.

That State Welfare Morris Hursh, Commissioner, St. Paul, Minnesota should not have approved foster care and adoptions of two illegitimate children to Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson, 8115 Plymouth Avenue North, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

That the lower courts should not have approved foster care and adoptions of illegitimate children and dismissals of this case.

CONCLUSION

For all these reasons this petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted. New order asked for on writ of certiorari be approved and signed by United States Supreme Court, Washington D.C. New order asked for on writ of certiorari. The adoptions of two small children to Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson set aside and cancelled. No more foster care and adoptions to Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson, 8115 Plymouth Avenue North, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Mr. and Mrs. Floyd Pearson are to return the two children to the welfare department and all their belongings. The welfare department are to return the children to the natural mothers.

Respectfully submitted,

MRS. EMILY PEARSON

BY Mrs Emily Pearson

1829 10th Avenue South Box 10
Minneapolis, Minnesota
Petitioner (Attorney Pro se)

Dated January 15, 1968.

Filed _____